



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/767,019 | 01/29/2004 | George E. Wright | 07917-183001 / UMMC 03-23 | 4717 |
| 26161 | 7590 | 09/21/2009 | EXAMINER | |
| FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 | | | OLSON, ERIC | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 1623 | | |
| | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | 09/21/2009 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/767,019 | WRIGHT, GEORGE E. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | ERIC S. OLSON | 1623 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ERIC S. OLSON. (3) Sylvia Georges.
 (2) JD Young. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wright et al., Naesens et al., Gilbert et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments were discussed to overcome the rejections made under 35 USC 112. Applicants reiterated their arguments with respect to the lack of motivation to combine acyclovir, gancyclovir, or brivudin with thymidine kinase inhibitors, and additionally pointed to evidence in the specification disclosing unexpected results for the combination of the claimed substituted oxopurine with acyclovir, foscarnet, or cidofovir.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eric S Olson/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1623